Spatial Data Notes: GRASSLANDS25

New Hampshire Fish & Game Department Spatial Data Notes

DATA LAYER: Grasslands habitat of New Hampshire

COVER NAME: grasslands25

COVER CONTENTS: Grassland habitat polygons greater than 25 acres in size

COVER TYPE: Poly

SOURCE: 2001 NH Land Cover Data

SOURCE SCALE: 30-meter SOURCE MEDIA: digital

COORDINATE SYSTEM: NH Stateplane feet, horizontal datum NAD1983

TILE: State

AUTOMATED BY: NH Fish & Game Department

STATUS: Complete

LAST REVISION: May 2005; attributes revised April 2006; metadata revised June 2006

General Description of the Data

- Development of this coverage provides general grasslands habitat locations within the state of New Hampshire. Analysis was completed for incorporation into the New Hampshire Wildlife Action Plan. Funding for the Plan was provided by State Wildlife Grants administered by the US Fish & Wildlife Service.
- Agricultural land cover was selected from the 2001 NH Land Cover Assessment data (provided by GRANIT at Complex Systems Research Center, UNH). The raster was then expanded across roads (such that agricultural land cover split by a road became a contiguous area). The cleared/other open land cover class, if immediately adjacent to agriculture, was then added. The resulting raster was then converted to polygons, retaining only those areas of at least 25 acres.

Item definitions for GRASSLANDS25 polygon attributes:

ITEM NAME	<u>WDTH</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION .
FGID	5	I	0	(unique, sequential ID number)
COUNTY	20	С	0	Name of NH county in which polygon is located
AREA_FEET	8	F	3	area (square feet) calculated by software
PERIMETER	8	F	3	perimeter length (feet) calculated by software
ACRES	8	Ν	1	area (acres)
HECTARES	8	Ν	2	area (hectares)
LANDHA	8	Ν	2	land area (hectares)
LANDSQKM	8	Ν	2	land area (square kilometers)
GOLFCOURS	E 1	С	0	Y = polygon is contiguous with a golf course
AIRPORT	1	С	0	Y = polygon represents an airport feature
DOTROADKM	l 8	Ν	2	Km of all NHDOT roads
DENSROADS	5	Ν	2	Density of all DOT roads (km/km2)
DOTMAJORK	M 8	Ν	2	Km of all state and town roads
DENSMAJOR	5	Ν	2	Density of all state and town roads
DISTROUTE	8	I	0	Distance to nearest route (meters)
DOTMINORKI	M 8	Ν	2	Km of all unmaintained roads and private roads
DENSMINOR	5	Ν	2	Density of unmaintained and private roads
DISTROAD	8	I	0	Distance to nearest road (meters)
CONSFO	8	Ν	2	Area in conservation/fee ownership (hectares)
CONSFO_PC	T 5	Ν	1	Percent in conservation/fee ownership
CONSCE	8	N	2	Area in conservation/easement or other (ha)
CONSCE_PC	T 5	Ν	1	Percent in conservation/easement or other

Spatial Data Notes: GRASSLANDS25

ITEM DEFINITIONS FOR INFO FILE: GRASSLANDS25 (continued)

				GRASSLANDS25 (continued)
				DESCRIPTION .
CONSHA	8		2	Area in conservation (ha)
CONS_PCT	5		1	Percent in conservation
GAP123HA	8		2	Area in conservation GAP management status 1, 2, 3 (TNC 2005)
GAP123PCT	5		1	% in conservation GAP mgt status 1, 2 or 3 (TNC 2005)
BUILDHA	8		2	Buildable area (hectares)
CONSTRNDHA	8 4	N	2	Buildable with constraints (ha)
BUILDPCT	5	N	1	Percent of area that is buildable (incl constrained)
NREL4HA	8	N	2	Natl' Renewable Energy Laboratory wind power class 4
NREL4PCT	5	N	1	hectares and percent (commercial turbine potential)
NREL2HA	8	N	2	Natl' Renewable Energy Laboratory wind power class 2
NREL2PCT	5		1	hectares and percent (small turbine potential)
NREL4DIST	5	N	1	Distance to nearest NREL class4 of 4+ acres in size (m)
TOWERCNT	3		0	Number of communication towers in the unit
TOWERHT	3		0	Max height of communication towers in the unit
TOWERDIST	8		0	Distance to nearest communication tower (m)
HIKEKM	8		1	Total length of hiking trails in the unit (km)
HIKEDENS	5		2	Density of hiking trails in the unit (km/km2)
DISTHIKE	8		0	Distance to nearest hiking trail (meters)
TRANSKM	8		1	Total length of power transmission lines
TRANSDENS	5		2	Density of power transmission lines (km/km2)
DISTTRANS	8		0	Distance to nearest power transmission line or pipeline (m)
RAILKM	8		1	Total length of active and abandoned railroad (km)
RAILNII	5		2	
DISTRAIL	8		0	Density of railroad (km/km2)
				Distance to nearest railroad (meters)
ELU30VAR	3		0	Variety of Ecological Land Units (ELU30 = elevation, substrate, landform)
AREA_M2	8		1	Total area (square meters)
PERIM_M	8		1	Total perimeter (meters)
NEARDIST	8		0	Distance to nearest neighboring grassland polygon (meters)
NEAR_FGID	4		0	ID of nearest neighbor
NEARSTATE	2		0	State in which nearest neighbor is located
NEARANIMAL	8		0	Dist. to nearest neighbor with grassland animal species present
SHAPEINDEX	5		1	Shape index (1=square)
A_RICH_BUF	3	I	0	Species richness of rare animals within their dispersal distances from the polygon
A_SF_BUF	3	1	0	Number of source features of rare animals within their dispersal
	_			distances from the polygon
A_SHAN_BUF	3	N	3	Shannon diversity index of rare animal source features within
	_		-	their dispersal distances from the polygon
A_RICH_POL	3		0	Species richness of rare animals within polygon
A_SF_POLY	3		Ö	Number of source features of rare animals within polygon
A_SHAN_POL	3	N	3	Shannon diversity index of rare animal source features in poly
P_RICH_BUF	3		Ö	Species richness of rare plants within 1km of polygon
P_SF_BUF	3		0	Number of source features of rare plants within 1km of polygon
P_SHAN_BUF	3		3	Shannon diversity index of rare plant source features within 1km
P_COND_BUF			0	Average rank of rare plant source features within 1km of polygon
P_DISP_BUF	3	N	3	
P_RICH_POL	3		0	Dispersal of rare plant source features within 1km of polygon
P SF POLY	3			Species richness of rare plants in polygon
			0	Number of source features of rare plants in polygon
P_SHAN_POL	3		3	Shannon diversity index of rare plant source features in polygon
C_RICH_BUF	3		0	Richness of rare and exemplary natural communities within 1km
C_SF_BUF			0	Number of source features of rare and exemplary natural communities within 1km of polygon
C_COND_BUF	2	С	0	Average rank of rare and exemplary natural community source features within 1km of polygon
				roataroo within than or polygon

Spatial Data Notes: GRASSLANDS25

					GRASSLANDS25 (continued)			
					DESCRIPTION			
C_	_AREA_BUF	3	N	3	Percent of area within 1km of polygon that is rare or exemplary natural community			
С	_AREA_POL	6	Ν	3	Percent of polygon that is rare or exemplary natural community			
	RICH_POL	3	i	0	Richness of rare and exemplary natural communities in polygon			
	 _SF_POLY	3	1	0	Number of source features of rare and exemplary natural			
					communities in polygon			
	NFRAGAC	12	N	2	Unfragmented acres (NHFG coarse filter wildlife habitat analysis)			
	NFRAGHA	12	N	2	Unfragmented hectares (NHFG coarse filter analysis, 2005)			
	NFRAGPCT ESMEAN	5 2	N I	1	Percent unfragmented (NHFG coarse filter analysis, 2005)			
	DP90X00	2 8	i	0 0	Mean Integrated Fragmentation Effects score (Zankel, 2005) Change in population 1990 to 2000			
	OPDENSX	8	i	0	Change in population density 1990 to 2000			
	OP00SQMI	8	i	Ö	Population density in 2000 (persons per square mile)			
	OUSES00	8	I	0	Housing units in 2000 (total count)			
Нι	J00SQMI	8	I	0	Housing units density in 2000 (houses per square mile)			
	ET_PCT	5	Ν	1	Percent wetland (National Wetlands Inventory)			
	AΒ	8	С	0	Habitat name (abbrv)			
BI		8	N	2	Raw biological score (high score = high quality)			
	AND JMAN	8 8	N N	2 2	Raw landscape score (high score = high quality) Raw human impact score (high score = low impact)			
	OND	8	N	3	Raw habitat condition score (high score = good condition)			
	EV	8	N	3	Raw development risk (high score = high risk)			
	SK	8	N	3	Raw risk score (high score = high risk)			
SI	JBBIO	3	- 1	0	Subsection biological rank (high rank = high quality)			
	JBLAND	3	ı	0	Subsection landscape rank (high rank = high quality)			
	JBHUMN	3	Į.	0	Subsection human impact rank (high rank = low impact)			
	JBCOND	3	!	0	Subsection habitat condition rank (high rank = good condition)			
	JBDEV JBRISK	3 3	!	0	Subsection development risk (high rank = high risk)			
	HBIO	3	i	0 0	Subsection risk rank (high rank = high risk) Statewide biological rank (high rank = high quality)			
	HLAND	3	i	0	Statewide biological rank (high rank = high quality) Statewide landscape rank (high rank = high quality)			
	HUMN	3	i	Ö	Statewide human impact rank (high rank = low impact)			
	HCOND	3	1	0	Statewide habitat condition rank (high rank = good condition)			
	HDEV	3	ı	0	Statewide development risk rank (high rank = high risk)			
	HRISK	3	I	0	Statewide risk rank (high rank = high risk)			
	RIORITY	50	С	0	WAP Priority			
E	COSUB	40	С	0	Ecoregional subsection			
N	OTES:							
	BIO1	Conditio	n sco	re =				
		$(A_RICH_BUFF_R^*.25) + (A_RICH_POL_R^*.25) + (P_RICH_POL_R^*.25) + (C_RICH_POL_R^*.25)$						
		where all biological variables are positive indicators of biological quality and subscript R						
					rank, thus "good" sites score high (maximum percentile rank=100)			
			•		ore low (minimum percentile rank=0).			
	LAND1			,	$CTARES_R^*.34) + (PROXINDEX_R^*.33) + (WETPCT_R^*.33)$			
					e variables are positive indicators of landscape integrity and subscript ile rank, thus "good" sites score high (maximum percentile rank=100)			
	HUMAN1	and "poor" sites score low (minimum percentile rank=0). Condition = (IFESMEAN _R *.5) + (HU00SQMI _R *.5)						
	1101017 (141	where deleterious human impact variables have been transformed so that all variables						
		are positive indicators of ecological integrity and subscript R denotes percentile rank,						
					core high (maximum percentile rank=100) and "poor" sites score low			
					le rank=0).			
	COND1	The con	dition	index =	(BIO1+LAND1+HUMAN1)/3 as defined above			

Spatial Data Notes: GRASSLANDS25

The list above represents the complete set of attributes developed for the WAP habitat data layer. Only select attributes are distributed in the public release version WAP data layers. For more information, please contact the NH Fish and Game Department, Wildlife Division, 11 Hazen Dr, Concord NH 03301 Phone: (603) 271-2461 E-mail: wilddiv@wildlife.state.nh.us

LITERATURE CITED:

- Complex Systems Research Center. 2001. *New Hampshire land cover assessment 2001*. 30m raster data. Available from GRANIT, University of New Hampshire. Accessed September 2003.
- The Nature Conservancy (J. Tollefson). 2005. GAP Status Assessment of NH Conservation Lands. Unpublished report to the NH Fish and Game Department.
- Wind power raster data provided by Massachusetts Technology Collaborative (data finalized June 2003). Developed by TrueWind Solutions, LLC under contract to AWS Scientific, Inc as part of a project jointly funded by the Connecticut Clean Energy Fund, Mass. Technology Collaborative, and Northeast Utilities System.
- Zankel, M. 2005. Integrated Fragmentation Surface for the State of New Hampshire.

 The Nature Conservancy, Concord NH. Unpublished report to NH Fish and Game Department.